POCATELLO/CHUBBUCK SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 25 BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Special Meeting for the Purpose of a Work Session

Tuesday, February 11, 2014 – 1:30 p.m. – Board Room at the Education Service Center

NOTICE: Board of Trustees will Convene a Special Meeting for the Purpose of an Executive Session with Action to Follow immediately following the Work Session in the Superintendent's Office.

AGENDA

WORK SESSION TOPICS:

- 1. Convene Work Session
- 2. Pocatello/Chubbuck School District #25 Education Foundation Tax Report
- 3. SBAC Testing Information and Recommendation Regarding High School Testing
- 4. Century High School Accreditation Report
- 5. Release of Emergency Levy Funds (Technology/Proctors/Instructional Materials)
- 6. Food Service Program Annual Report
- 7. Budget Preparation for 2014-15 (legislative update and cost increases)
- **8. Updates:** *Middle School Dances; Health/Wellness Update, Insurance and Budget Committee, Financial Condition of State and Monitor all District Budgets*
- 9. Public Comment

Board Protocols for Public Comment will be followed at all Board Meetings. Patrons wishing to address the Board will fill out Form AD 2 – Request to Appear before the Board and present it to the Board Chair or Board Secretary prior to the meeting. Because of the diversity of issues, members of the Board may not respond to delegations. Instead, issues are recorded and referred to the proper staff member for follow-up. The Board is informed of these efforts by the staff member responding to concerns.

Board Operating Principles #22 & 23:

- 22) The Board will follow the chain of command referring others to present their issues, problems, or proposals to the person who can properly and expeditiously address the issues; 23) Board members will refrain from communications which create conditions of bias should a problem or complaint become the subject matter of a hearing before the Board.
- 10. Adjourn Work Session
- 11. Convene Special Meeting for the Purpose of an Executive Session in the Superintendent's Office in Accordance with Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (1) (b) To consider the evaluation, dismissal, or disciplining of, or to hear complaints or charges brought against a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent;
- 12. Return to Open Session and Take Any Action Pertinent to Personnel
- 13. Adjourn Special Meeting.

NOTE: A complete agenda can be found on the District's Website: www.sd25.us under School Board/Board Agendas/Work Session Agenda 1-14-14.

for fiscal accountability. in the packet is the cover buck School District #25 or the 2012-13 school year. ny will be in attendance to o be in attendance to review estions at the time of the one at this time.
b n ce

TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION	
3. SBAC Testing Information and	Mr. Wegner Director of Curriculum	Topic: SBAC Testing Information and Recommendation Regarding High School Testing	
Recommenda- tion Regarding	Ms. Luras Curriculum	Policy Question: Board responsible for overall operations of the District.	
High School Testing (20 min)	Coordinator		

	is included in the packet. Payment for proctors is recommended to come from the Emergency Levy money. Mr. Wegner will also be in attendance to answer any questions.
	Administrator Recommendations: Administration recommends the Board provide direction to only administer the SBAC test to 11 th graders at the high school level.

TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION	
4. Century High School	Mr. Devine Director of	Topic: Century High School Accreditation Plans	
Accreditation Report (15 min)	Secondary Education	Policy Question: Board responsible for overall operations of the District.	
(13 mm)	Ms. Brockett Principal CHS	Background Information: Mr. Devine and Ms. Brockett will be in attendance to report on the outcome of the December, 2013 Century High School Onsite Accreditation Visit. A copy of the Exit Interview power point is included in the packet. As of this writing, the school has not received a written report documenting the accreditation visit, any commendations and recommendations. When the final report is received, it will be presented to the Board at a later date. Administrator Recommendations: None at this time.	

TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION	
5. Release of Emergency Levy Funds – (Technology / Proctors / Instructional Materials) (15 min)	Ms. Vagner Superintendent	Topic: Release of Emergency Levy Funds – (Technology/Proctors/Instructional Materials) Policy Question: Board responsible for release of Emergency Levy Funds. Background Information: The Board of Trustees set the Emergency Levy in September, 2013 for the purpose of supporting the implementation of ICS and SBAC. The Board authorizes all expenditures from the Emergency Levy funds. Thus, included in the packet is an accounting of the Emergency	

the CDAC test at the middle and high sales are at CC 11 122
the SBAC test at the middle and high schools as staff availability
is scarce at the secondary schools to cover this need. The cost for
the proctors is calculated at \$35,420.00. In addition, there will be
a tripling of the cost at the high schools for 2014-15 due to the
SBAC testing of three grade levels and the projected increased
resources from the state do not address this need. Thus,
administration recommends setting aside \$70,000 from the
Emergency Levy into a designated reserve fund to cover this
need for 2014-15. This set aside would be done at the close of
FY14. Further, administration is considering recommendations
for allocation of the balance of the Emergency Levy funds which
will be brought forward in March at the Work Session. Ereaders
are under consideration as a priority need.
are under consideration as a priority need.
Administrator Recommendations: Administration recommends
Board take action at the February 18, 2014 Regular Board
Meeting to authorize release of \$57,000.00 from the Emergency
Levy funds to cover the cost of expanded wifi coverage K-8,
inclusive of the labor costs, authorize the expenditure of
\$35,420.00 for SBAC test proctors for 2013-14 for the secondary
schools and authorize establishing a designated reserve fund of
\$70,000 for the cost of SBAC test proctors for 2014-15 for the
secondary schools.

	TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION	
6.	Food Service Annual Report	Mr. Reed Director of	Topic: Food Service Annual Report	
	(15 min)	Business Services	Policy Question: Board responsible for overall operations of the District.	
		Mr. Wilson		
		Food Service	Background Information: Annually, Mr. Wilson prepares a	
		Coordinator	Food Service Program Report for the Board of Trustees. Included in the packet are talking points outlining the operational functions of the Program. Mr. Wilson and Mr. Reed will answer any questions at the time of the meeting.	
			1	
			Administrator Recommendations: None at this time.	
	TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION	
7.	Budget Preparation 2014-15	Mr. Reed Director of Business	Topic: Budget Preparations 2014-15 (legislative update and cost increases)	
	(15 min)	Operations	Policy Question: Board adopts FY 15 budget in June 2014.	
		Mr. Smart Director of Employee Services	Background Information: Included in the packet are materials relative to the preparation of the District's budget for the 2014-2015 school year. Currently, there is little information from which to begin preparing a proposed budget. Mr. Reed, Mr.	

Superintendent	Smart, and Ms. Vagner will be in attendance to review the materials, provide any legislative update and answer any questions at the time of the meeting.
	Administrator Recommendations: None at this time.

TOPIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION
8. Updates (5 min)		Topic: Updates: Middle School Dances; Health/Wellness Update; Insurance and Budget Committee; Financial Condition of State and Monitor all District Budgets
		Policy Question: Board responsible for overall operations of the District.
		Background Information: Mr. Devine and the middle school principals have requested that the 6 th graders be allowed to attend the middle school dances that are scheduled infrequently during the year for the last hour of the day. The 6 th graders have smoothly been incorporated into the four middle schools and participation in the school dances is a middle school privilege and an inclusionary experience. The principals have concluded that participation in the dances is a healthy learning experience for the students and a natural progression of their full incorporation into the schools. The dances are a social music experience with students congregating and visiting with each other. The principals state that the students are ready for this opportunity. A memo to that effect is included in the packet. Further, Mr. Smart will be in attendance to provide a Health/Wellness Update; and an Insurance and Budget Committee Update. Mr. Reed will close with any final fiscal information as to the condition of state revenues.
		Administrator Recommendations: Administration recommends the Board give direction allowing the 6 th graders to attend middle school dances at the discretion of the principal.

TOI	PIC	PRESENTER	DISCUSSION/INFORMATION
9. Public Comm	nent		Public Comment Protocols: Board Protocols for Public Comment will be followed at all Board Meetings. Patrons wishing to address the Board will fill out Form AD 2 – Request to Appear before the Board and present it to the Board Chair or Board Secretary prior to the meeting. Because of the diversity of issues, members of the Board may not respond to delegations. Instead, issues are recorded and referred to the proper staff member for follow-up. The Board is informed of these efforts by the staff member responding to concerns. Board Operating Principles #22 & 23: 22) The Board will follow the chain of command referring others to present their issues, problems, or proposals to the person who can properly and expeditiously address the issues; 23) Board members will refrain from communications which create conditions of bias should a problem or complaint become the subject matter of a hearing before the Board.
	ne d ng in inten- Office djourn cutive	Chair Gebhardt Board Chair	For the Purpose of an Executive Session in the Board Room in Accordance with Idaho Code Section 67-2345 (1) (b) To consider the evaluation, dismissal, or disciplining of, or to hear complaints or charges brought against a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent;
-	Session ake Any		Take Any Action Pertinent to Personnel in Open Session
13. Adjou Specia Meetii	ıl		

Deaton & Company, Chartered 215 N 9th Ave Ste A Pocatello, ID 83201-5278 208-232-5825

November 12, 2013

CONFIDENTIAL

SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 25 EDUCATION FOUNDATION, INC. 3115 POLE LINE ROAD POCATELLO, ID 83201-6119

Dear Mrs. Allen:

We have prepared the following returns from information provided by you without verification or audit.

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax (Form 990)

We suggest that you examine these returns carefully to fully acquaint yourself with all items contained therein to ensure that there are no omissions or misstatements. Attached are instructions for signing and filing each return. Please follow those instructions carefully.

Enclosed is any material you furnished for use in preparing the returns. If the returns are examined, requests may be made for supporting documentation. Therefore, we recommend that you retain all pertinent records for at least seven years.

In order that we may properly advise you of tax considerations, please keep us informed of any significant changes in your financial affairs or of any correspondence received from taxing authorities.

If you have any questions, or if we can be of assistance in any way, please call.

Sincerely,

Deaton & Company, Chartered

09073 11/12/2013 9:26 AM

Form **990**

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements.

2012
Open to Public

For the 2012 calendar year, or tax year beginning $\frac{07}{01}$ 06/30/13 , and ending Employer identification number C Name of organization SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 25 EDUCATION Check if applicable: FOUNDATION, INC. Address change 82-0398260 Doing Business As Name change Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) Room/suite Telephone number Initial return 208-232-3563 3115 POLE LINE ROAD Terminated City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code 269,561 POCATELLO ID 83201-6119 Amended return G Gross receipts\$ Name and address of principal officer: Application pending X No H(a) is this a group return for affiliates? SHELLEY ALLEN H(b) Are all affiliates included? 3115 POLELINE ROAD If "No," attach a list. (see instructions) 83201 POCATELLO ID X 501(c)(3) (insert no.) 4947(a)(1) or Tax-exempt status: NONE H(c) Group exemption number Website: L Year of formation: 1985 Association X Other ► ED FOUND. M State of legal domicile: Form of organization: Corporation Trust Part I Summary Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: SEE SCHEDULE O Activities & Governance 2 Check this box ▶ | if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets. 3 Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a) 17 4 Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b) 5 Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2012 (Part V, line 2a) 0 5 100 6 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary) 6 0 7a 7a Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 0 b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34 Prior Year **Current Year** 205,311 117,618 8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h) 21,355 10,385 9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g) 839 10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d) 1,313 -22,794 38,371 11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e) 167,213 205,185 12 Total revenue – add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12) 188,652 159,332 13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1–3) 0 14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4) 0 15 Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5–10) 16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) 0 b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ▶ 9,327 12,237 17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e) 200,889 168,659 18 Total expenses. Add lines 13–17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) 4,296 -1,446 19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12 Beginning of Current Year End of Year 361,899 363,345 20 Total assets (Part X, line 16) 0 21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26) 0 361,899 22 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20 Signature Block Part II Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge. Signature of officer Date Sign KRISTI SAMUELSON CHAIRMAN Here Type or print name and title Date PTIN Print/Type preparer's name Preparer's signature Check Paid self-employed P00052253 CHARLES 11/12/13 CLARK, CHARLES W DEATON & COMPANY, CHARTERED 82-0338741 Preparer Firm's EIN ▶ Firm's name Use Only 215 N 9TH AVE STE A 208-232-5825 POCATELLO, ID 83201-5278 X Yes No May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)

SBAC Updates:

1. District Test Coordinator/Technology Coordinator Training – Monday, February 24, 2014

2. The Test Administrator Manual (TAM) for the Smarter Balanced Field Test is now available

- o This TAM is generic to all states in the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium. The only Idaho-specific issue identified is the policy related to proctoring.
- o TAM p. 8: "The Smarter Balanced Field Test should be administered by individuals with an existing state certification in education (e.g., teachers, school psychologists, principals, etc.). Expanding the TA role to other individuals who receive the required test administration trainings is a decision made at the state level in accordance with state policy, protocol, or guidelines."
- o In Idaho, proctoring policy is broader than the Smarter Balanced policy. "Assessments are to be administered by professional staff members who have been oriented in the proper test administration.... It is the responsibility of the district test coordinator to properly train all proctors, teachers, and building test coordinators. <u>Teachers may not proctor their own students.</u>
- 'Professional staff member' is to be interpreted as any non-student individual employed, contracted, or otherwise retained and trained by a district to proctor an exam.

3. SBAC Test Training/Resources:

Training Module	Intended Audience	Length of Training
Let's Talk Universal Tools	Test administrators, teachers, students	14:07
Performance Task Overview	District/school test coordinators, teachers	11:13
Student Interface for Online Testing	District/school test coordinators, test administrators, teachers	22:48
Technology requirements	District/school technology coordinators	18:00
Test Information Distribution Engine	District/school test coordinators, test administrators, teachers	20:31
What is a CAT	District/school test coordinators, test administrators, teachers	11:23
What is a Field Test	Teachers, students	5:52

- i. Administration Training
- ii. Accessibility and Accommodations
- iii. Teacher Interface

STATE OF IDAMO

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

P.O. BOX 83720 BOISE, IDAHO 83720-0027

TOM LUNA STATE SUPERINTENDENT PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

January 17, 2014

Dear Superintendents, Charter School Administrators and Principals

Copy to District Testing Coordinators

The State Board of Education has approved Superintendent Luna's proposal to make administration of the Smarter Balanced Field Test to 9th and 10th graders optional this spring. This flexibility applies ONLY to students in grades 9 and 10. **All students in grades 3-8 and 11 are still required to take the Field Test**, as per state and federal laws governing thoroughness and accountability.

The decision to exclude your 9th and 10th grade students from the Smarter Balanced Field Test should be made after careful consideration of your local circumstances. The State Department of Education strongly encourages you to include all of your 9th and 10th grade students in the Field Test as it is an excellent opportunity for these students to experience the new assessment system before they take it operationally next year. This year's 9th graders will be the first class to have to pass the Smarter Balanced Assessment in 2016 in order to graduate, and the scores that this year's 10th graders' achieve next year will count toward school accountability determinations in 2015. Including your 9th and 10th graders in the Field Test will also allow you and your schools to fully pressure-test your technology and assessment systems a year before test scores will impact school and district accountability.

In addition to offering flexibility for grades 9 and 10, the State Department of Education has confirmed that schools' Star Ratings will not change this year, without exception. This means that **participation in the Smarter Balanced Field Test will not affect any school's Star Rating**.

With this said, we remind you that although the United States Department of Education (USED) is granting Idaho flexibility to administer the Field Test instead of the ISAT, Idaho has made assurance to USED that our districts and schools will continue to follow all federal and state laws related to testing. The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) requires a minimum of 95% of students in grades 3-8 and once in high school to take statewide assessments aligned with state standards in Math and English Language Arts. Idaho has not applied for or received a waiver from the testing provision of NCLB. All students in grades 3-8 and 11 are still required to take the Field Test and participation rates will be reported to the public and to the federal government. Low participation rates may jeopardize the flexibility Idaho has acquired from USED through our ESEA Flexibility Waiver and may also make it difficult for Idaho to acquire more flexibility in the future.

If you have questions about the Smarter Balanced Field Test, please contact me at <u>tibliss@sde.idaho.gov</u> or Angela Hemingway at <u>ahemingway@sde.idaho.gov</u>.

Thank you,

TJ Bliss, Ph.D.

Director of Assessment and Accountability

Idaho Department of Education

4. Training for the Field Test

- a. Training Tests will be made available to help students become familiar with the format and functionality of the online test. This resource will be available by grade band (3–5, 6–8, high school) and will have approximately eight to nine mathematics and six ELA items per grade band.
- b. It is *highly recommended* that ALL students access the Training Test Site before taking the Field Test.
- 5. SBAC training for administrator scheduled for February, 20, 2014

State Testing Requirements

2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
(9 th) • Not required to take SBAC	 (10th) Required to take the SBAC District required PSAT (state funded) EOC for Biology or Chemistry 	 (11th) Required to take the SBAC and pass for graduation Required to take the SAT
 (10th) Not required to take SBAC If students did not pass the 9th grade ISAT based on 10th grade proficiency; required to complete an alternate route Required to take the 10th grade Science ISAT District required PSAT in fall 2013 (state funded) 	 Required to take the SBAC; Will <u>not</u> have to pass SBAC for graduation Proficiency rates <u>will</u> count towards school star rating Required to take the SAT 	(12 th)
 (11th) Required to take the SBAC unless they have not yet passed the ISAT – ISAT retake Required to take the SAT (12th) If they have not yet passes ISAT – Alternate Route/ISAT retake 	(12 th) • If they have not yet passes ISAT – Alternate Route/ISAT retake	

SBAC Test Proctors

School	Test Window	# days	Proctors	Rate	Total
CHS	April 22 - May 7	12	3	\$80	\$2,880
HHS	April 1 - 11	15	4	\$80	\$4,800
PHS	April 1 - 10	7	3	\$80	\$1,680
NH			0	\$80	0
AMS	April 7 - May 2	21	3	\$80	\$5,040
FMS	April 1 - May 12	30	3	\$80	\$7,200
HMS	April 1 - May 16	34	3	\$80	\$8,160
	April 1 - May 7				
	not testing on				
IMS	some M/F	19	3	\$80	\$4,560
_			22	\$80	\$34,320

^{**}Finger printing and B/C = \$ 1,100

Total: \$35,420.00	
--------------------	--





AdvancEDTM External Review Exit Report Century High School

Century High School December 16 and 17, 2013







Accreditation is...

An international protocol for institutions committed to systemic, systematic, and sustainable improvement

- Builds capacity of the institution to improve and sustain student learning
- Stimulates and improves effectiveness and efficiency throughout the institution



Balanced Accreditation

Analysis and Evaluation of

- Impact of Teaching and Learning
- Leadership Capacity
- Resource Utilization





External Review

- The External Review Team
 - Comprised of professional peers with diverse experience and rich contextual perspective
 - Determines the institution's effectiveness in meeting the requirements of accreditation
- The External Review Process
 - Provides analysis and evaluation
 - Delivers valuable feedback and direction for improvement



- 52 Interviews
 - 3 Administrators
 - 20 Teachers
 - 4 Support Staff
 - 14 Students
 - 11 Parents
- 25 Effective Learning Environment Observations







Learning Environment Ratings

1	Equitable Learning Environment	3.08
2	High Expectations Environment	2.90
3	Supportive Learning Environment	3.40
4	Active Learning Environment	2.97
5	Progress Monitoring and Feedback Environment	2.90
6	Well-Managed Learning Environment	3.34
7	Digital Learning Environment	2.28



Ratings of Accreditation Standards

ST	STANDARD								
1	Purpose and Direction	3.33							
2	Governance and Leadership	3.36							
3	Teaching and Assessing								
	for Leaning	3.00							
4.	Resources and Support Services	3.14							
5.	Using Results for Continuous								
	Improvement	3.00							





Index of Educational Quality







Powerful Practices

Standard 1.2

• Century High School is committed to providing challenging and equitable educational programs and learning experiences for all students.

Description:

 Evidence and artifacts include discussions and interviews with students, faculty, administrators, parents, and community leaders, agendas/minutes from meetings, surveys, PLC data, mission statement, and purpose statement.
 Observations by members of the external review team were also pertinent to this recommendation.



 The stakeholders at Century High School and the data available consistently validates the shared values and beliefs of all stakeholders at the school. There is much collaboration among all stakeholders. Expectations are very high and results match these expectations. Academic programs are in place to meet the needs of all students. Character education is also an emphasis with all stakeholders.



Standard 2.2

• The school's administrative team provides excellent and powerful leadership. They function as a cohesive unit for the benefit of the school, students and staff.

Description:

Under the direction of the school administration, all school employees each year
have a comprehensive review of policies and procedures to ensure the highest
level of ethical professional behavior. Knowledge and understanding of the
policies, procedures, laws and regulations provides for a cohesive actualization
which benefits student learning. Effective training each year in the high
expectations of everyone involved supports the ongoing mission and vision of the
school.



Standard 3.1

 Students are given challenging opportunities provided by the school's curriculum in order to develop all the necessary skills to be successful after completing high school.

Description:

- Evidence in the school's curriculum points to opportunities for students to be successful in a climate of high expectations and rigor.
- They are given a myriad of experiences to develop learning, thinking, and life skills that lead to success after graduation from high school.



• Common course assessments, remediation classes, high expectations in like classes, teacher/student advisors, caring teachers and staff, multiple formative assessments, RTI, and SIOP among others are evidence of a desire on the school's part to ensure that all students have the opportunity to be successful later in life.



Standard 4.4

Exceptional Media Center support to students and school personnel.

Description:

Evidence based on staff, teacher, and administrator interviews, as well as
observations, survey results, and media center statistics indicates all students and
school personnel have access to an exceptional collection of media and
information resources necessary to achieve the educational programs of the
school. The media center provides extensive collections books, digital books,
online databases, newspapers, and magazines for use by students and teachers.



Powerful Practices

- The use of technology is promoted through access to computers, kindles, and technology equipped teaching and learning stations.
- Conclusive evidence also exits to support best practices in the media center's connection to student learning. Observations, interviews, and the professional development schedules show consistent collaboration with teachers to support student learning. Qualified personnel in sufficient numbers are available to assist students and school personnel in learning about the tools and locations for finding and retrieving information. Media center personnel are an essential part of the collaborative effort to transition to the Idaho Common Core Standards and Smarter Balanced Assessment. Presentations on technology and media center resources are part of monthly faculty meetings.



Powerful Practice 5.5

 The school has developed an effective comprehensive system to monitor and communicate with stakeholders the learning conditions that encourage and support student achievement.

Description:

• Conclusive evidence shows that Century High School is committed to sustaining a comprehensive and clearly defined assessment system. Evidence of communication of student learning conditions to staff and stakeholders was found in parent interviews, community business leaders, and teacher interviews.



Powerful Practices

Evidence was also seen in newsletters and reports that are provided to stakeholders. Teachers are provided comprehensive information about student learning through an extensive variety of testing and assessment documents. Leaders have a strong understanding of the achievement of school improvement goals and there is strong evidence of sharing that knowledge to stakeholders.

Conclusive evidence also exists to support best practices in the school.





Required Actions

Standard 5.3

 There is a need for more staff development and training in the evaluation, interpretation, and use of data.

Description:

• There is a wealth of information available to staff members about students concerning their achievement. Teachers need to be given additional training in how to use the data available to them in serving and better meeting the needs of their students. In interviews and observations by team member the consensus is that this should be an area of improvement for Century High School.



Century High School

be accredited, pending further review and final action by the AdvancED Accreditation Commission.







Accreditation Decision

External Review

Completed!

AdvancED Office Reviews and Sends
Report Back to You

30 Days

AdvanceD Accreditation Commission Grants Accreditation and Status

January June





Final Thoughts

- On behalf of the External Review Team, we extend:
 - Our appreciation for your hospitality, support, and professionalism;
 - Our respect and acknowledgement of your efforts to improve quality; and
 - Our congratulations for your progress toward achieving Accreditation as a quality institution.







Our mission is to lead and empower the education community to ensure that all learners realize their maximum potential.

Pocatello/Chubbuck School District #25

Emergency Levy Data

	Emergency Levy	<mark>Current</mark>
	Budget	Balance
	\$441,354.00	
Equipment Account	\$263,969.00	\$28,582.96
Instructional Account	\$177,385.00	\$177,385.00
Balance		<mark>\$205,967.96</mark>
Proposed Expenses:		
Wifi Equipment K-8		\$47,000.00
Temp Workers to Install Wifi		\$10,000.00
SBAC Test Proctors		\$35,420.00
Fingerprinting		
Proctors for SBAC 2014-15		\$70,000.00
(designated reserve)		
Total Expenses		\$162,420.00
Final Balance		\$ <mark>43,547</mark>

School Board Work Session Presentation

School Food Service Department

1. Introduction

- (a) The School Food Service Department of Pocatello/Chubbuck School District No. 25 was established through the National School Lunch Act of 1946. Since then, the National School Lunch Program has continued to grow and change, with the needs of students, parents, patrons, and the community as a whole.
- (b) I am starting my 15th year with the district, the last 4 years as Coordinator.
- (c) We have approximately 125 employees working at 23 sites within the district. Approximately, 95 % of our staff are Certified Food Handlers through the Southeastern Idaho District Health Department. We will be holding another class on Friday, 2/21/14 for our new hires and those employees who need to recertify. Certification is valid for 5 years.
- (d) We are a self-sustaining department, whereas we do not rely on the general fund. However, we have noticed an increase in our food cost and tray cost. These could be impacted in the future due to inflation, drought conditions, oil prices, etc.

2. Programs

- (a) Breakfast program
- (b) Lunch program
- (c) After school program / operate at 8 sites, with a possibility of 2 more sites.
- (d) Summer feeding program / operate at 8 sites, including Summer School @ NHC.
- (e) Special programs, i.e. Montessori at Tyhee, K-1 at ISU, Special Needs class at ISU

3. Data: *red indicates 2012 numbers.

- (a) Average number of breakfast meals served to students daily is 2,237. 2505
- (b) Average number of lunch meals served to students daily is 6,723. 7235
- (c) Average number served during the summer feeding program daily is <u>1,933</u>. **2510** For the summer of 2013, we served 94,706 meals in 49 days of operation. **123,000**
- (d) Our negative balance is \$15,416.33. Any negative balance must be paid out of the general fund. *Paid Lunch Equity Tool would require that we increase paid lunch price.*
- (e) The School Nutrition Association has written a "Position Paper" that will be the focus of their 42nd annual Legislative Action Conference, March 2-5, 2014. One of the main points that the SNA is addressing with these Legislative meetings is that there should be a national standard for meal charges and the provision of alternate meals.

4. Investment for the future

- (a) The State requires that as a food service entity, under the provisions of the U.S.D.A., no more than 3 months of operating funds be available. As of the last fiscal year, we are at 3.04 months.
- (b) Funds are allocated to elementary and secondary schools, as needed, to update old equipment and fixtures utilizing the CIP and on an emergency basis. We have installed new student cafeteria tables in most of the elementary schools, new ovens, warming ovens, refrigeration, small wares, etc. 2013-2014 ---\$192,000
- (c) Delivery vehicles have been purchased over the last few years as a result of operating a very successful summer program.
- (d) Infinite Campus system vs. the traditional "ticket". Parents can log onto the Parent Portal and view their children's food service balance and make payments to the account using a third party vendor, Vanco.

Jan. 2014---\$40,000

Dec. 2013---\$30,317

Nov. 2013---\$39,000

Oct. 2013---\$42,000

Public School Support Program Distribution Factor

	APPROPRIATION 2013-2014 ¹	GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION 2014-2015 ¹	SORI ORIGINAL REQUEST 2014-2016	SOPI ALTERNATE REQUEST 2014-2015 ¹	GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATI 2014-2015 ¹ Change from 2013-2014 Appropri	ON	SOPI ORIGINAL REQUE 2014-2016 ¹ Change from 2018-2014 Appropri S		SOPI ALTERNATE REQU 2014-2015 Change from 2013-2014 Appropr	
APPROPRIATION / REQUEST a. GENERAL FUND REVENUE	\$1,300,826,700	\$1,337,508,000	\$1,370,696,500	\$1,367,714,000	\$36,681,300	2.8%	\$69,869,800	5.4%	\$66,887,300	5.1%
STATE DEDICATED REVENUE				The second second		7.5				2.03
b. Endowment / Lands	\$31,292,400	\$31,292,400	\$31,292,400	\$31,292,400	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3 900 000	0.0% 50.7%
c. Miscellaneous Revenues	7,500,000	10,500,000	11,300,000 18,820,000	11,300,000 18,820,000	3,000,000 (6,830,000)	40.0% -26.6%	3,800,000 (6,830,000)	50.7% -26.6%	3,800,000 (6,830,000)	-26.6%
d. Lottery Dividend / Interest on Cooperative Fund e. Bond Levy Equalization Fund	25,650,000 5,204,400	18,820,000 16,262,400	16,262,400	16,262,400	11,058,000	212.5%	11,058,000	212.5%	11,058,000	212.5%
f. Cigarette and Lottery Taxes	4,700,000	4,421,400	4,421,400	4,421,400	(278,600)	-5.9%	(278,600)	-5.9%	(278,600)	-5.9%
TOTAL DEDICATED REVENUE	\$74,346,800	\$81,296,200	\$82,096,200	\$82,096,200	\$6,949,400	9.3%	\$7,749,400	10.4%	\$7,749,400	10.4%
TOTAL STATE REVENUES	\$1,375,173,500	\$1,418,804,200	\$1,452,792,700	\$1,449,810,200	\$43,630,700	3.2%	\$77,619,200	5.6%	\$74,636,700	5.4%
g. Other Federal Funds	215,000,000	215,000,000	215,000,000	215,000,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL FEDERAL REVENUES	\$215,000,000	\$215,000,000	\$215,000,000	\$215,000,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
TOTAL REVENUES	\$1,590,173,500	\$1,633,804,200	\$1,667,792,700	\$1,664,810,200	\$43,630,700	2.7%	\$77,619,200	4.9%	\$74,636,700	4.7%
2 PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION	-	-		427	25.7.02		*******	0.00	61 616 765	
a. Transportation	\$67,941,100	\$69,281,800	\$69,281,800	\$69,281,800	\$1,340,700	2.0%	\$1,340,700	2.0% -15.4%	\$1,340,700	-15.4%
b. Border Contracts	1,300,000	1,100,000	1,100,000 5,065,600	1,100,000 5,065,600	(200,000)	-15.4% -6.1%	(200,000)	-6.1%	(200,000)	-6.1%
c. Exceptional Contracts and Tuition Equivalents d. Salary-based Apportionment	5,396,000 767,632,000	5,065,600 770,058,100	773,594,400	781,317,200	2,426,100	0.3%	5,962,400	0.8%	13,685,200	1.8%
e. State-Paid Employee Benefits	146,619,800	147,080,000	147,695,400	149,215,900	460,200	0.3%	1,075,600	0.7%	2,596,100	1.8%
f. Career Ladder	0	0	42,447,500	15,920,000	0	NA	42,447,500	NA	15,920,000	NA
g. Excellence in Teaching Awards (including State-paid EB)	21,000,000	0	0	0	(21,000,000)	-100.0%	(21,000,000)	-100.0%	(21,000,000)	-100.0%
h. Teacher Incentive Award (Nat'l Bd Cert)	111,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	(21,000)	-18.9%	(21,000)	-18.9%	(21,000)	-18.9% 647.6%
i. Idaho Safe and Drug-Free Schools	368,600	2,255,700 21,000,000	2,755,700 21,000,000	2,755,700- 19,600,000	1,887,100 3,600,000	512.0% 20.7%	2,387,100 3,600,000	647.6% 20.7%	2,387,100 2,200,000	12.6%
j. Bond Levy Equalization Support Program k. Charter School Facilities Funds	17,400,000	2,100,000	2,100,000	2,100,000	2,100,000	NA NA	2,100,000	NA NA	2,100,000	NA.
I. Idaho Digital Learning Academy	6,526,900	6,656,400	6,670,000	6,670,000	129,500	2.0%	143,100	2.2%	143,100	2.2%
m. School Facilities Funding (lottery)	5,659,500	12,570,000	12,570,000	12,570,000	6,910,500	122.1%	6,910,500	122.1%	6,910,500	122.1%
n. School Facilities Maintenance Match	858,000	1,716,000	1,716,000	1,716,000	858,000	100.0%	858,000	100.0%	858,000	100.0%
o. Advanced Opportunities	0	640,600	5,640,600	3,000,000	640,600	NA 100 000	5,640,600	NA 100 0%	3,000,000 (250,000)	-100.0%
p. Dual Credit for Early Completers	250,000	0	0	0	(250,000) (250,000)	-100.0% -100.0%	(250,000) (250,000)	-100.0% -100.0%	(250,000)	-100.0%
q. Master Advancement Program (MAP) r. Eight in Six	250,000 140,600	0	0	0	(140,600)	-100.0%	(140,600)	-100.0%	(140,600)	-100.0%
s. High School Redesign - Math / Science	4,850,000	4,850,000	4,850,000	4,850,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
t. Technology	10,400,000	10,400,000	10,400,000	10,400,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
u. Technology Pilot Projects	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
v. IT Staffing	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
w. Instructional Management System (JKAF)	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	(150,000)	-100.0%	(150,000)	0.0% -100.0%	(150,000)	0.0% -100.0%
x. Professional Development (JKAF) y. Student Achievement Assessments	150,000 1,703,500	1,703,500	1,703,500	1,703,500	(130,000)	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
z. Math Initiative, Reading Intitiative, Remediation	10,500,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	11,000,000	500,000	4.8%	500,000	4.8%	500,000	4.8%
aa. Limited English Proficient (LEP)	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ab. Administrative Evaluation	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ac. Safe Schools Task Force	100,000	0	0	0	(100,000)	-100.0%	(100,000)	-100.0% NA	(100,000) 12,155,000	-100.0%
ad. Professional Development	0	12,155,000	12,155,000 250,000	12,155,000	12,155,000	NA NA	12,155,000	NA NA	12, 155,000	NA
ae. Master Schedule Training af. Advisory Committees		0	300,000	300,000	0	NA	300,000	NA	300,000	NA
ag. Idaho Core Standards Professional Development	3,755,000	0	0	0	(3,755,000)	-100.0%	(3,755,000)	-100.0%	(3,755,000)	-100.0%
ah. Cooperative Education Service Agencies	0	1,000,000	0	0	1,000,000	NA	0	NA	0	NA
ai. Federal Funds for Local School Districts	215,000,000	215,000,000	215,000,000	215,000,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL DISTRIBUTIONS	\$1,302,212,000	\$1,310,022,700	\$1,361,685,500	\$1,340,110,700	\$7,810,700	0.6%	\$59,473,500	4.6%	\$37,898,700	2.9%
3 Education Stabilization Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	\$0	NA	\$0	NA
4 NET STATE FUNDING AVAILABLE	\$287,961,500	\$323,781,500	\$306,107,200	\$324,699,500	\$35,820,000	12.4%	\$18,145,700	6.3%	\$36,738,000	12.8%
5 SUPPORT UNITS	14,398.0	14,439.0	14,480.0	14,480.0	41.0	0.3%	82.0	0.6%	82.0	0.6%
6 DISTRIBUTION FACTOR (includes \$300 for Safe Environment Provisions)	\$20,000	\$22,424	\$21,140	\$22,424	\$2,424	12.1%	\$1,140	5.7%	\$2,424	12.1%

¹Excludes Idaho Educational Services for the Deaf and the Blind

Projected Cost Increases for the Pocatello/Chubbuck School District #25 FY 2012 through FY 2017

Description:

Estimated Increase:

	į	FY 2012	<u>F</u>	Y 2013	FY 2014		FY 2015	<u> </u>	Y 2016		FY 20	17
Pay for Performance	\$	-	\$	30,000								
PERSI (Cumulative Phase In over three years: \$248,800)	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 70,800		\$ -	\$	141,600	\$	24	8,800
Loss of Education Jobs Act Funds (FY2012 for FY 2013)			\$	1,300,000								
Wages Increases	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	*	3	-
Insurances:												
Medical Heath Insurance (7%; 13.1%, 1% rate increase = Under \$50,000)	\$	330,000	\$	646,000	\$ 50,000		\$ 90,000 (2%)					
VEBA Trust	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 100,000		` ′					
Dental Insurance (3% rate increase) 1% = \$3,002	\$	18,012	\$	19,500	\$ 10,337							
Obama Care		,		,	\$ -		\$ 500,000					
 Affordability Component (Only applies to full time employees - District already pays Medical) 	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	5	6	-
Utilities					,		•					
Water / Gas / Electric	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$ 91,400							
Fuel - District Vehicles and Bussing Transportation (same)	\$	18,000	\$	50,000	\$ -							
High School Media Clerks					\$ 20,000							
6 FTE (1 @ Ellis-3rd Grade; 1 @ FMS-6th Grade; 1 @ HMS-6th Grade; 1 @ CHS-Math/Science;			\$	300,000								
1 @ HHS-Math/Science; 1 @ PHS-Math/Science)			φ	300,000								
ARRA Position Push-Ins	\$	297,000										
Salary Movement Restoration HB1184 (.89% of certificated payroll)	\$	266,000										
One Day Restored to Calendar	\$	-	\$	225,000								
Worker's Compensation Estimated increase based on FY2011 payroll	\$	46,755	\$	48,000	\$ 50,000							
(Includes: Professional staff, Administrative and Classified Staff (Food Service, Transportation, Maintenance &												
Operations, and all other)		24 422	_				•	_		٠.		
Military Leaves	\$	61,400	\$	-	\$ -		<u> </u>	 \$	-			-
TQ Budget Reductions 2011-12	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		<u> </u>	 \$	-		•	-
Chamber Dues (\$2,500 in 2012 - will increase to \$4,000-\$4,100max)			\$	1,600			_					
Wilcox Crossing Guard	\$	-	\$	2,000	\$ -		<u> </u>	\$	-	_;	\$	-
Alameda Middle School	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 597,783							
Curriculum Materials (Alameda Middle School)					\$ 60,000							
Varsity Contract (Alameda Middle School)					\$ 42,000							
Varsity Contract Increase			 \$	30,000	\$ 60,000							
Truancy Court	\$	-	\$	15,000			\$ 3,000					
Communications Upgrade (Loss of \$160,000 to School Plant Fund and \$80,000 savings to GF)												
Wide-Area Network Services (Internet) (Could be offset by 70% e-rate reimbursement)	\$		\$	16,800	\$ 120,000							
Contingency Shifts = TBD		TBD		TBD								
(Inclusive of: Common Core Standards; Infinite Campus; Enrollment Shifts)												
Infinite Campus:	_	.=	_	.=								
Maintenance	\$	150,000	\$	150,000	\$ -		\$ 150,000	_				
Online Registration (\$15,000 for first year, \$7,500 every year thereafter)	\$	-	\$	•	\$ -	_	\$ 15,000	\$	7,500	\$	5	7,500
Technology Support	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ 100,000	 _				
Extra-Curricular Activities	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	_	<u> </u>	\$	-			-
OK Ward Softball Field Maintenance - (Beginning in 2017-18 = \$3,000 per year)	\$	-	 \$	-	 \$ -	_	<u>-</u>	\$	-		•	-
Textbook Needs	•	050 000	•	05.000								
Elementary Consumables Franchis I (40)	\$	250,000	\$	95,000								
Essential K-12	\$	- [\$	100,000								

Catch Up on Textbook Adoptions: Science K-12 = \$805,000 (Elementary = \$420,000 (2000); Grades 7-8 = \$140,000 (2006)		\$	805,000			\$ 805,000				
(Grades 9-12 = \$245,000)(2002-2008)										
 6-12 Reading/Language Arts (2001-2005) 						\$ 1,070,600				
K-5 Reading/Language Arts (2005)						\$ 1,043,000				
 Humanities = \$118,000 (1993-2005) 		\$	-	\$	118,000	\$ 118,000				
• Elementary Health = \$420,000 (2000)		\$	-	\$	420,000	\$ 420,000				
Elementary Social Studies = \$420,000 (1997)		\$	-	\$	420,000	\$ 420,000				
 Secondary Social Studies = \$324,000 (2003-2006) 		\$	-	\$	324,000	\$ 324,000				
 Common Core Standards: Language Arts & Math = TBD 			TBD							
SBAC Support Proctors						\$ 70,000				
Read Naturally			TBD							
Fast Forword			TBD							
	Estimated Total:	\$ 2,2	292,167	\$ 4	,280,900	\$ 5,542,920	\$ 858,000	\$ 149,100	\$	256,300

NOTE: Loss of revenue from PMC assessed value adjustment = \$236,000



Pocatello Chubbuck School District 25

Maximizing Learning For All Students Through Rigor, Relevancy and Relationships

Whatever It Takes!

TO: Mary M. Vagner, Superintendent

FROM: Bob Devine, Director of Secondary Education

RE: 6th grade participation in middle school dances

DATE: February 6, 2014

 6^{th} graders have successfully integrated into our middle schools sharing some $7^{th}/8^{th}$ grade teachers as well as participating in no-cut sports like cross-country and track. The middle administration would like permission to include 6^{th} graders in the twice per year school wide middle schools dances held during the last hour of the school day. All 6th, 7th, and 8th grade staff participates in the supervision of these dances. Currently the 6^{th} graders do not feel as much a part of the school as they are excluded from this activity. Whether it is an assembly, combined lunches or athletics there have been no reports of problems integrating the 6^{th} grade with 7^{th} and 8^{th} grade.